

CSJM University, Kanpur
Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -201-

Name : Muskan Gupta

Course :

Department :

Year : I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Very Good | | | | | Very Poor | | | | |

| | Particulars | Rating |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 10 |
| 2. | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 10 |
| 3. | Depth of the course content | 10 |
| 4. | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5. | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6. | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7. | Overall rating | 9.0 |

2. The syllabus was

- ☒ 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate
 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- ☒ 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) a little relevant
 4) Irrelevant 5) highly irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% ☒ 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75%
 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the collection of books in library for the course?

- ☒ 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate
 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
✓Yes/No
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library?
✓1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
✓1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% ✓4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work ✓5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
1) fair in how many courses $\frac{100}{100}$ %
2) unfair in how many courses $\frac{0}{0}$ %
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
1) inferior 2) superior ✓3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
✓1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
1) very good ✓2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
1) very helpful ✓2) helpful 3) indifferent
4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
1) very good ✓2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
1) very good ✓2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
✓1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
✓1) co-operative 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
 ✓() yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
 ✓() Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | | ✓ | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | | ✓ | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | | ✓ | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Prof. Dr. Sanjay Swarnkar

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and subjects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | | ✓ | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Overall rating | ✓ | | | |

CSJM University, Kanpur
Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -2020 -21

Name : Mlni Singh Rathore

Course : M.A.

Department : English

Year : I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Very Good | | | | | Very Poor | | | | |

| | Particulars | Rating |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 10 |
| 2. | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 10 |
| 3. | Depth of the course content | 10 |
| 4. | Extent of coverage of course | 10 |
| 5. | Extent of effort required by students | 10 |
| 6. | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 10 |
| 7. | Overall rating | 60 |

2. The syllabus was

- ☒ 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate
 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- ☒ 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) a little relevant
 4) Irrelevant 5) highly irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- ☒ 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75%
 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the collection of books in library for the course?

- ☒ 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate
 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departamental library? Yes/No
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course ☒ 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses 100%
 2) unfair in how many courses 0%
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior ☒ 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
☒ 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
☒ 1) co-operative 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?

☒ 1) yes

☐ 2) no

☐ 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?

☒ 1) Proudly

☐ 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Library facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Dr. G. S. Nigam

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material? Yes/No
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departamental library? Yes/No
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses 100 %
 2) unfair in how many courses 0 %
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior ☒ 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
 1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
 1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
☒ 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
 1) co-operative ☒ 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?

✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?

✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | ✓ | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Sumana Biswas

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departamental library? Yes/No
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses 100%
 2) unfair in how many courses 0%
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior ☒ 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
 1) very good 2) ☒ good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor (11.11)
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
 1) very good 2) ☒ good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor (11.11)
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
☒ 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
 1) co-operative ☒ 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
1) ☒ yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
1) ☒ Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Library facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Prof. Sanjay Kumar Swainkar

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?

Yes/No

7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
1) understanding the course ☒ 2) early discovery of difficulties
3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
1) fair in how many courses ☒ 100%
2) unfair in how many courses ☒ 0%
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
1) inferior 2) superior 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
☒ 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
1) co-operative ☒ 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | ✓ | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher: Prof. M. P. Sinha

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departamental library? ☒ Yes/No
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses ☒ 100%
 2) unfair in how many courses ☒ 0%
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities?
 1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities?
 1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University?
☒ 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?
 1) co-operative ☒ 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | ✓ | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Deepthi Agarwal

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

CSJM University, Kanpur
Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -2020 -2021-22

Name : Mansi Mishra

Course : M.A

Department : English

Year : I / II / III / IV
 ✓ ✓

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Very Good | | | | | Very Poor | | | | |

| | Particulars | Rating |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 10 |
| 2. | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 10 |
| 3. | Depth of the course content | 10 |
| 4. | Extent of coverage of course | 10 |
| 5. | Extent of effort required by students | 10 |
| 6. | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 10 |
| 7. | Overall rating | 60 |

2. The syllabus was

- ✓ 1) challenging
 4) dull

- 2) adequate 3) inadequate
 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- ✓ 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) a little relevant
 4) Irrelevant 5) highly irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- ✓ 1) 90 to 100%
 4) 40 to 50%

- 2) 75 to 90%
 5) less than 40%

3) 50 to 75%

5. What is your opinion about the collection of books in library for the course?

- ✓ 1) excellent
 4) poor

- 2) adequate
 5) very poor

3) inadequate

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material? Yes/No
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library?
1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
1) fair in how many courses 100%
2) unfair in how many courses 0%
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
1) inferior 2) superior 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
1) very helpful 2) helpful 3) indifferent
4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities? NA
1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities? NA
1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / NA - COVID - 19 University?
1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities? NA COVID - 19
1) co-operative 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | NA | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | NA | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Prof. Sanjay . Kumar . Swarankar

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material? *Yes/No*
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library?
 1) very good ☒ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
 1) very good ☒ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% ☐ 2) 75% ☐ 3) 50% ☒ 4) 25% ☐ 5) less than 25% ☐
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course ☒ 2) early discovery of difficulties ☐ 3) interaction with the teacher ☐ 4) regular work ☐ 5) continuous self assessment ☐
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses ☐ 100 %
 2) unfair in how many courses ☐ 0 %
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior ☐ 2) superior ☐ 3) equal ☒
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) satisfactory ☐
☐ 4) unsatisfactory ☐ 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) satisfactory ☐
☐ 4) unsatisfactory ☐ 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful ☐ 3) indifferent ☐
 4) unhelpful ☐ 5) cumbersome ☐
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities? *NA*
 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
16. How do you rate the health care facilities? *NA*
 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University? *NA - COVID-19*
 1) often ☐ 2) rarely ☐ 3) sometimes ☐ 4) never ☐
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities? *NA - COVID-19*
 1) co-operative ☐ 2) encouraging ☐ 3) indifferent ☐

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | NA | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | NA | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Sumana Biswas

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library? Yes/No
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
 1) very good ☒ 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% ☒ 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course ☒ 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses $\frac{100}{0}\%$
 2) unfair in how many courses $\frac{0}{0}\%$
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior ☒ 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities? NA
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities? NA
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / NA-COVID-19 University?
 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities? NA-COVID-19
 1) co-operative 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | NA | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | NA | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Dr. G. S. Nigam

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library? Yes/No
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) less than 25%
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course 2) early discovery of difficulties
 3) interaction with the teacher 4) regular work 5) continuous self assessment
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses $\frac{100}{100}\%$
 2) unfair in how many courses $\frac{0}{0}\%$
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior 2) superior 3) equal
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
 1) very good 2) good 3) satisfactory
 4) unsatisfactory 5) very poor
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful 2) helpful 3) indifferent
 4) unhelpful 5) cumbersome
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities? NA
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
16. How do you rate the health care facilities? NA
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / University? NA - COVID-19
 1) often 2) rarely 3) sometimes 4) never
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities? NA - COVID-19
 1) co-operative 2) encouraging 3) indifferent

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
 1) yes ☒ 2) no ☐ 3) marginally ☐

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
 1) Proudly ☒ 2) insignificantly ☐

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Library facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | NO | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | NO | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | NO | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | NO | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | NO | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher : Prof. M.P. Sinha

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity /Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |

6. Do the teachers help you in getting relevant reference / text books / reading material?
7. What is your opinion about the quality of reference books available in central/departmental library? Yes/No
 1) very good ☒ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
7. The internal evaluation system as it exists is
 1) very good ☒ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
8. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% ☐ 2) 75% ☐ ☒ 3) 50% ☐ 4) 25% ☐ 5) less than 25% ☐
9. Is the internal assessment system helpful/supportive in
 1) understanding the course ☒ 2) early discovery of difficulties ☐
 3) interaction with the teacher ☐ 4) regular work ☐ 5) continuous self assessment ☐
10. The internal assessment system being adopted is (give percentage)
 1) fair in how many courses 100 %
 2) unfair in how many courses 0 %
11. When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other Universities do you feel?
 1) inferior ☐ 2) superior ☐ ☒ 3) equal ☐
12. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the University as a whole?
☒ 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) satisfactory ☐
☐ 4) unsatisfactory ☐ 5) very poor ☐
13. How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?
☒ 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) satisfactory ☐
☐ 4) unsatisfactory ☐ 5) very poor ☐
14. How do you find the University's administrative offices?
 1) very helpful ☒ 2) helpful ☐ 3) indifferent ☐
 4) unhelpful ☐ 5) cumbersome ☐
15. What is your rating on hostel facilities? NA
 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
16. How do you rate the health care facilities? NA
 1) very good ☐ 2) good ☐ 3) average ☐ 4) poor ☐ 5) very poor ☐
17. Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the Department / NA - COVID - 19 University?
 1) often ☐ 2) rarely ☐ 3) sometimes ☐ 4) never ☐
18. What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities? NA - COVID - 19
 1) co-operative ☐ 2) encouraging ☐ 3) indifferent ☐

19. Has your time at the University been intellectually enriching?
✓ 1) yes 2) no 3) marginally

20. After leaving the University how will you talk about it?
✓ 1) Proudly 2) insignificantly

21. Overall Rating of the Programme

| S.No. | Item | Very good | Good | Average | Poor | Very Poor |
|-------|---------------------------------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| | Academic content | ✓ | | | | |
| | Fairness of evaluation | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with faculty | ✓ | | | | |
| | Teaching tools & methodology | ✓ | | | | |
| | Interaction with administration | ✓ | | | | |
| | Library facilities | ✓ | | | | |
| | Computer facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Hostel facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Recreational facilities | NA | | | | |
| | Extra-curricular activities | NA | | | | |
| | Sports facilities | NA | | | | |

Feedback about Individual Teacher

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Deepal Agrawal

| Parameters | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|------|--------------|----------------|
| Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) | ✓ | | | |
| Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehension) | ✓ | | | |
| Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Interest generated by the teacher | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to integrate content with other courses | ✓ | | | |
| Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) | ✓ | | | |
| Ability to design quizzes/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course | ✓ | | | |
| Provision of sufficient time for feedback | ✓ | | | |
| Over all rating | ✓ | | | |